Developing an Integrated History and future of People on Earth (IHOPE)
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The Integrated History and future of People on Earth (IHOPE) initiative is a global network of researchers and research projects with its International Program Office (IPO) now based at the Stockholm Resilience Center (SRC), Uppsala University, Arizona State University, Portland State University, and the Australian National University. Research linked to IHOPE demonstrates that Earth system changes in the past have been strongly associated with changes in the coupled human–environment system. IHOPE supports integrating knowledge and resources from the biophysical and the social sciences and the humanities to address analytical and interpretive issues associated with coupled human–Earth system dynamics. This integration of human history and Earth system history is a timely and important task. Until recently, however, there have been few attempts at such integration. IHOPE will create frameworks that can be used to help achieve this integration. The overarching goal is to produce a rich understanding of the relationships between environmental and human processes over the past millennia. IHOPE recognizes that one major challenge for reaching this goal is developing ‘workable’ terminology that can be accepted by scholars of all disciplines. The specific objectives for IHOPE are to identify slow and rapidly moving features of complex social–ecological systems, on local to continental spatial scales, which induce resilience, stress, or collapse in linked systems of humans in nature. These objectives will be reached by exploring innovative ways of conducting interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary science, including theory, case studies, and integrated modeling. Examples of projects underway to implement this initiative are briefly discussed.
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Brief history
The idea for IHOPE emerged from a joint IGBP/IHDP (International Geosphere-Biosphere Program/International Human Dimensions Program) Planning meeting in Banff, Canada, in 2003. The following summer, a first planning meeting took place, aiming to kick the project off with a Dahlem Conference, which took place in June 2005 (convened by Costanza, Steffen, and Graumlich). In January 2006, a meeting took place in Stockholm to outline the Research Plan for the project (convened by Folke and Costanza), and in November of that year an informal meeting took place on the sidelines of the Earth System Science Partnership (ESSP) conference in Beijing to discuss the first steps towards implementation. A proposal was submitted (by Costanza, Graumlich, and van der Leeuw) to the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS) for funding.
to support a 3-yr working group, which was funded in 2007. That same year, the Dahlem book ‘Sustainability or Collapse?’ [1] was published by MIT Press, and a first paper about the project was published in Ambio by its initiators [2]. A preliminary meeting of the ‘US Southwest/Northern Mexico’ team also took place at Arizona State University (ASU) in 2007.

2008 saw a series of scientific meetings. First a three-day meeting of the US Southwest/Northern Mexico project at the School of Advanced Research in Santa Fe, NM, followed by another three-day meeting of the same group at the Santa Fe Institute in July of that year (both convened by van der Leeuw) took place. In March, the project was presented for a wider academic audience in two dedicated panel sessions at the Resilience 2008 conference in Stockholm organized by Costanza, Sörlin, and Crumley. In April, a first meeting of the Maya project was held at the Society of American Anthropology in Vancouver (convened by Scarborough and van der Leeuw). In September, the first of three meetings at the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (NCEAS) was held assembling the larger IHOPE group (convened by Costanza, Graumlich, and van der Leeuw).

These meetings were followed in 2009 by a three-day meeting of the Maya group (convened by Scarborough and van der Leeuw) at the School for Advanced Research, and the second NCEAS meeting in September (convened by Costanza, Graumlich, and van der Leeuw). The project was presented at the Past Global Changes (PAGES) Young Scholars meeting in Corvallis in July (by van der Leeuw and Dearing).

2010 finally saw the formal establishment of the project with a number of events. First among these was the creation of the International Project Office at the Stockholm Resilience Center. ASU has offered to fulfill the function of Regional Office for the Americas. The same year, the project was accepted as a jointly (with IGBP) sponsored project by IHDP, and various members of the project team published a number of papers that emerged from the project (see bibliography below). A series of meetings were held both in Stockholm and in the US, while the third meeting of the IHOPE working group at NCEAS was held in September.

In August 2011 the first formal meeting of the IHOPE Scientific Steering Committee was held at Uppsala University in Sweden (hosted by Sinclair). At this meeting it was decided to have a distributed International Program Office (IPO) with major nodes at Uppsala University (Sweden), Stockholm Resilience Center (Sweden), Arizona State University (US), Portland State University (US), and the Australian National University (Australia).

Long term goals
The IHOPE project has identified three long-term goals [3]:

1. **Map the Earth’s integrated record of biophysical and human system changes over past millennia.** Higher temporal and spatial resolution will be possible in more recent periods of analyses (e.g. 100–2000 years before present (YBP)). The range for longer-term analyses will depend on the region. For example, Australian history might include the past 60,000 years, and in southern Europe, the past 20,000 years could cover colonization since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM).

2. **Test human–environment system models against the integrated history to better understand the socio-ecological dynamics of human history.** How well do various models of the relationships between climate, agriculture, technology, disease, language, culture, war, and other variables explain the historical patterns of human settlement, population, energy use, and Earth system cycles described by global biogeochemistry?

3. **Project, with more confidence and skill, options for the future of humanity and Earth systems.** These projections will be based on models that have been tested against the integrated history and with contributions from the full range of participants.

Consistent with these long-term goals, three overarching questions have been identified for the IHOPE project:

1. What are the key socio-ecological interactions from an integrated history that provide insight into future options?
2. What are the complex and multiple interacting processes and scales that steer the emergence, resilience, sustainability or collapse of coupled socio-ecological systems? A part of this question is to understand, derive, and quantify the relative contributions of humans as causal agents.
3. What is needed to evaluate alternative explanatory frameworks, specific explanations and models (including complex systems models) against observations of highly variable quality and coverage?

Framework and methods
A major goal of IHOPE is to learn from the past to inform future possibilities and help create a better future. Our basic framework for accomplishing this involves integrating theory, comparative studies, and socio-ecological modeling across a range of spatial and temporal scales (Table 1). There have already been efforts published which deal with mapping human induced changes over long time periods. These include mapped time series of population and land use changes, sometimes for the whole Holocene [4–8]. We will build on these and other efforts at producing integrated historical databases.
Case studies in the Americas, Europe, Australia, Africa, etc. (see below), along with interregional and global scale studies, will implement this framework. The case studies listed are not exhaustive, but are some of the ones currently under way. We ultimately expect case studies in all regions.

Clearly, all these transverse (horizontal) activities are based on the bringing together of data in the different geographic areas (specified vertically), using theory, comparative studies, and integrated modeling.

The application of these practices provides the traceability and transparency required for academic review of IHOPE results by the international community. The rigorous application of these practices will greatly improve interoperability within and between communities of practice that will use IHOPE products now and in the future.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Geographic Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Americas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparative Studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated Modeling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ecosystem dynamics**
- Increased complexity begets stability
- System resilience is controlled by slow-long processes
- Self-organized systems tend towards more resilient networks
- Naturally evolved systems can reach critical states where they respond disproportionately to perturbations from outside the set of boundary conditions within which the system evolved
- Variability increases before threshold change
- Systems slow down in advance of abrupt change

**Coupled socio-ecological dynamics**
- Human actions tend to increase system sensitivity, decrease interconnectivity, and increase predictability
- Managed systems tend to shift the risk spectrum towards more frequent, higher magnitude events
- Convergent trajectories within a socio-ecological system tend to increase vulnerability
- Increased spatial homogeneity leads to lower resilience
- Sustainability equates to suboptimal efficiency
- Diversity of both species and of practice in socio-ecological systems increases resilience

**Comparative studies**
These studies look at the dynamics of societies at different scales and under different environmental circumstances. They are presented here in a continuum from the least aggregated and most mobile to the most aggregated and sedentary. The purpose of these studies is to improve our understanding of the dynamics involved in the emergence and functioning of the systems, and to identify a level of abstraction at which these dynamics can be described and understood in similar terms for each one, so that a truly comparative study becomes possible.
Australia

Key participants: A. Williams, M. Smith, L. Robin, W. Steffen, C. Turney (All ANU)

The first part of the project is centered on a synthesis of a very large amount of archeological and climatic data for the Australian continent, and aims to tease out aspects of the human–environment relationship in Australia’s pre-European past. A good example of this work is a recent paper: Hunter-Gatherer Response to Late Holocene Climatic Variability in Northern and Central Australia [13]. The paper finds that over the past 2000 years changes in the archeological signature correlate reasonably well with transitions in the ENSO mean state between generally wetter and drier conditions.

The second part of the project (Life in a Land of Uncertain Extremes) is focused on the variability of the Australian environment at a range of time scales. The central research questions were: first, How does a calendar driven by ‘pulses’ depend on temperature, water, fire, vegetation and other life-sustaining resources, and not simply annual cycles around the sun, shape biological, social, and economic activity? second, How has Australia come to terms with the limits imposed by ‘pulses’ and extreme events in the past? The primary product of the project was a monograph [14].

In the third part of the project, the environmental dynamics will be confronted with the societal dynamics and models constructed that will help us develop a more dynamic understanding of long-term socio-environmental change in this environment. This new initiative is designed to be a contribution to the ‘future’ timeframe of IHOC. The aim of the project is to develop models that can simulate the coupled biophysical–social-economic cycles of the human–earth system. The initial workshop will address three simple but profound questions:

- What are the limits of quantitative description that models of the human–earth system can aspire to?
- Can we identify the essential process ingredients that models of the human–earth system must include?
- What is the optimum or the minimum spatial, temporal or sectoral resolution necessary to capture these processes in global and national scale models?

US Southwest/Northern Mexico


Over the past 3000 years, the region has seen a succession of very different adaptations to extreme climatic circumstances. This group has been working together for decades to study different cultural responses to environmental change, based on a comparative study of six subregions in the area, which underwent very similar changes in climate, but had different social and environmental resources to cope with these. The project benefits from long tree-ring sequences that allow detailed reconstructions of fluctuations in annual average precipitation and temperature and the assignment of precise dates to the archeological evidence. In addition, the project has developed dynamic (multi-agent) models of social–environmental interaction over thousands of years and a sophisticated historical GIS database. By comparing how the region’s extreme environmental circumstances have been managed by different societies over the last 10,000 years, the group can focus on distinguishing cultural and economic factors from environmental conditions. Funding for this Core Project has come from the US National Science Foundation and Arizona State University.

Yucatan

Key participants: Vernon Scarborough (University of Cincinnati), Arlen and Diane Chase (Florida Central University), Keith Pruefer (University of New Mexico), Jeremy Sabloff (Santa Fe Institute), Joseph Tainter (Utah State University), Fred Valdez (University of Texas), Rodrigo Liendo (Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico), David Lentz (University of Cincinnati), Scott Fedick (University of California), Joel Gunn (University of North Carolina), Nicholas Dunning (University of Cincinnati), Gyles Iannone (Trent University), Scott Heckbert (Portland State University)

Home to the Maya civilization, the Yucatan Peninsula is being studied from 1000 BCE to 1000 CE. The chronology is well established through the presence of stelae with calendar dates. The region is important to the Earth system because such tropical wet-dry forests hold half of the planet’s biodiversity. The region’s historical ecology can help us understand how to maintain these ecosystems and the humans who live there. The Mayanists, each of whom is involved in an independent, large-scale project, demonstrate what can be accomplished at the regional scale when investigators agree to collaborate. In particular, eight ‘hotspots’ have been selected in which the environmental and archeological data together permit to outline the long-tem socio-environmental dynamics over the roughly 1500 years of Maya occupation of these areas. Comparing the local environmental differences (relief, regional climate, resources, water, etc.) and the differences in social organization enable us to identify how these different factors have contributed to the individual trajectories of these hotspots under similar conditions of global environmental change. This Maya example also permits exploration of teleconnections with other regions, biomes, and continents. Because the European group (see below) is studying the same period (1000 BCE to 1000 CE), comparisons with societies surrounding
the Atlantic Basin (e.g. Western Europe, North and Middle America, including the Yucatan Peninsula) are expected to allow exploration of deviation amplifying and deviation countering conditions at regional, oceanic, continental, and global scales. An intriguing example would be to contrast conditions around AD 900 that contributed to the decline of centralized power in southern Yucatan with those implicated in the rise of European power in the post-Migration period. A joint research proposal to the US NSF is being drafted.

**Europe**

**Key participants:** I. Ralston (University of Edinburgh), V. Guichard (Bibracte), C. Crumley (Stockholm Resilience Center), J. Dearing (University of Southampton), S.E. van der Leeuw (Arizona State University), I. Jouffroy (Université de Franche Comte, Besançon), P. Sinclair, F. Herschend, S. Fischer, H. Lejdegård (all Uppsala University), K. Holmgren (Stockholm University), V. Caracuta, G. Fiorentino (both University of Salento), S. Kane (Oberlin College)

This subproject is new and less well developed, but it draws on one of the most detailed human and environmental histories in the world. With special attention to climate fluctuations with a periodicity of hundreds of years, the group will take a critical perspective on the intervals of rapid environmental change and social reorganization before (ca. 450 BC) and after (ca. 500 AD) a stable warm event coincident with the expansion of Rome. The geographical extent of the study is E-W from the Urals to the Atlantic façade and N-S from southern Scandinavia to North Africa. Focusing on three regions (southern Scandinavia, central to southern France by way of the Rhône corridor, and southern Italy), the network will, as in the Yucatan, connect many independent research campaigns. For example, the Rhône Corridor region will collate findings from major projects that have been underway for decades in the regions of Bourges, Burgundy, Annecy, and the Midi. The Corridor itself, running N-S between the Alpine and Central massifs, crosses the major ecotone between the West European subtropical and temperate climate regimes and records historic temperature and precipitation shifts. For millennia, the Corridor has been the major N-S trade, military, and migration route across the western European continent.

Carole Crumley, Steve Jackson, and Simon Brewer are exploring potential interactions among climate change, vegetation cover, and cultural activities and practices during the Period 1000 BCE — 1000 CE in Europe and western Asia. We are compiling paleoclimatic records, vegetation records, land-cover simulations, and archeological and documentary data to produce a master chronology for comparison, particularly of climate changes and cultural benchmarks. Our working hypothesis is that the peak warm period coincided with flattening of the latitudinal temperature and moisture gradients in Europe, facilitating widespread and intensive wheat cultivation. We postulate that these gradients steepened during the migration period, resulting in increased difficulties in cultivation at the margins of the Empire. We will test this hypothesis and formulate other hypotheses as the compilations continue. We anticipate one paper next spring that presents the climate chronology and cultural chronology and compares them to discuss potential relationships.

**Modeling**

Under this transverse theme, we expect to be developing a series of integrated models for each of the different case studies that function as dynamic descriptions of the life cycle of these societies. These models will draw heavily upon the theoretical work mentioned above, as well as the case study descriptions. They will be structurally designed in ways that allow the dynamics to be compared across the cases studied.

One current activity of the project is building an integrated dynamic systems/agent-based model of the Maya civilization. The model includes the dynamics of the biophysical system — climate, water, vegetation, primary production, etc. integrated with the human system — demography, settlements, agriculture, trade, technology, institutions, etc. to replicate the dynamics of the civilization over three major drought cycles and its ultimate collapse. Simulating the model through time shows the spread of human settlement across the landscape. A number of functions for rainfall, net primary productivity, and agricultural suitability are calculated by the cell-based landscape, and changes based on assumptions about climate cycles that influence rainfall. Demographics interacts with spatial data to grow agricultural crops and drive migration and further settlement. Settlements are linked via a trade network, and the provision of ecosystem services, agriculture, and trade combine to provide overall human well-being. The system is then simulated through time and under comparative scenarios to examine under what conditions the system maintains sustainability, or in turn collapses or re-organizes. The model is evaluated based on its ability to generate outcomes consistent with the body of archeological evidence, in this case the ability to generate the regional settlement pattern of lowland Mayan cities, the location of cross-Yucatan peninsular trade routes via El Mirador, Tikal, and Calakmul, and the ascendancy of coastal cities in the post-classic period. The model allows the investigation of a range of scenarios including: altering the frequency and severity of droughts, the sophistication of trade technology by land, canoe, and marine routes, and the impacts of random shocks such as volcanic eruptions.

IHOPE will encourage the development, testing, and utilization of other integrated, dynamic models to help us better understand the past as a means to creating a
sustainable and desirable future. By building such multi-
scalar models of the dynamics of different kinds of 
societies, and comparing them from the perspective of 
their structuration as well as evolution over time in 
different environments, we will gain a much improved 
insight in scales of socio-environmental dynamics that we 
have thus far not been able to grasp, and thus to improve 
our decision-making about our future, which is seeing 
currently such dramatic changes in the breadths of the 
temporal and spatial scales involved.

For example, The Roman Period as described above 
offers a number of advantages for modeling, as a number 
of well-informed datasets exist, including information on 
regional differences across the area of the Empire. It will 
therefore constitute one of the ongoing case studies for 
the development and implementation of integrated 
IHOPE models. The model will be used to further test 
the hypotheses developed during data collection and to 
examine the impact of population migration on the land-
scape. We are also developing a collaboration with the 
ARVE (Atmosphere Regolith Vegetation) modeling 
group led by Jed Kaplan at the Ecole Polytechnique 
Fédérale de Lausanne. This group has developed a 
model of land use change in response to changing popu-
lation pressure, and has used this to quantify the sub-
sequent effects on the carbon cycle. As this currently 
relies on imposed population growth, we intend to couple 
this with the demographic part of the IHOPE mode, to 
allow us to dynamically estimate human impact on eco-
system services.

The modeling will extend to other case studies, and 
analyses during the next phase of work.

Related projects
Several ongoing related projects connect with and support 
the IHOPE initiative. These include:

IGBP-PAGES (past global changes) focus 4  
‘past human–climate–ecosystem-interactions’
IGBP-PAGES (http://www.pages-igbp.org/science/ 
focus4.html) is a co-sponsoring project of IHOPE. An 
national IHOPE report is presented annually to the 
PAGES SSC. The Focus 4 programme draws together 
paleoenvironmentalists who reconstruct environmental 
changes under several themes: Biodiversity, Soil and 
Sediments, Carbon, Water and Regional Integration. 
The last of these engages most directly with IHOPE 
through attempts to integrate archival records of all kinds 
for socio-ecological systems at regional scales. The first 
meeting took place on 23–25th September 2010 in South-
ampton, UK with the aim of producing a protocol for the 
collation and analysis of archival records in developing 
evolutionary perspectives on modern socio-ecological 
systems.

The urban mind
This project studies urban resilience across the world and 
over the long term, from the development of urbanism 
10 000 years ago until modern times (http://www.arkeologi. 
UU.se/Forskning/Projekt/Urban_Mind/Introduction/). It 
involves researchers in the humanities and the social 
and biophysical sciences from various institutes in Sweden, 
the United Kingdom, Germany, Turkey, Zimbabwe, and 
South Africa. The development of urbanism is a global 
phenomenon that takes radically different forms in differ-
ent times and places, with widely varying consequences. 
Ongoing studies address cognitive aspects of urbanism and 
climate change in Africa, Eurasia, and the Americas. This 
project has been funded in a targeted grant from MISTRA.

The archeology of African urbanism
Africa has the longest record of human occupation of any 
continent. The urban past of Africa is complex and multi-
faceted and has a deep time depth of at least 6000 years. It 
is characterized by variety of location, form, and organ-
ization. Recent overviews have grappled with problems 
of definition of the ‘urban’ and ‘non-urban’ function and 
specialization and these will be critically reviewed. Afri-
can urbanism is analyzed in terms of multi-scalar regional 
and landscape perspectives highlighting the interactions 
between climate change and ecosystem services, local 
and inter-regional production and exchange, as well as 
governance and ideology. A thematic approach of these 
issues by region is undertaken for North West Central 
East and southern Africa and Madagascar based on the 
concept of energy regimes. The temporal scope is broad; 
consideration is also given to certain Mid Holocene 
hunter-forager settlement systems that are normally 
 excluded from considerations of urban complexity. 
Further crucial challenges of integrating modern urban 
development into the analytical frame defined by the 
arheological record will also be considered. Key Faculty: 
Paul Sinclair (Uppsala University) Innocent Pikirayi (Pre-
toria University). Seed funding for new planning initiatives 
has been provided by STIAS (the Wallenberg Institute of 
Advanced Studies) Stellenbosch South Africa and the 
Swedish Bank Tercentenary Foundation.

Expertise for the future
This is a thematic project that cuts across disciplines in 
examining the history of the idea of environmental pre-
diction and the reception of both optimistic and pessi-
mistic predictions by societies. The period studied 
	doesn’t begin in the sixteenth century; predictions range 
from personal observation to interpretation of longitudinal 
data trends (prices, demographic data, meteorological 
records) as statistical modeling becomes increasingly 
important. This cross-cutting comparative methodology 
can be applied to all case studies; it provides a way to 
examine IHOPE itself and its role in today’s politics of 
science. This project has funding from the Leverhulme 
Trust, the Center for History and Economics, Harvard
University, the Australian Museum of Natural History, and the SRC.

The CLIO-INFRA project
This project (http://www.clio-infra.eu) aims to create reliable global datasets of the most relevant indicators of economic performance and its causes for the past 500 years. It addresses the topic of global inequality, the increasing divergence between rich and poor countries, which is one of most pressing concerns of our time and the near future.

The big history project
This project (http://www.bighistoryproject.com/), initiated by David Christian, weaves evidence and insights from many scientific and historical disciplines across 13.7 billion years into a single, accessible origin story. One that explores who we are, how we got here, how we are connected to everything around us, and where we may be heading. The concept arose from a desire to go beyond the specialized and self-contained fields that emerged in the 20th century and grasp history as a whole, looking for common themes across the entire time scale of history. The Big History Project LLC (BHP) is an organization focused on bringing this unique learning experience to life for high school students.

Conclusions
Many contemporary societal challenges manifest themselves in the domain of human-environment interactions. There is a growing recognition that responses to these challenges formulated within current disciplinary boundaries, in isolation from their wider contexts, cannot adequately address them. We need an integrated, trans-disciplinary synthesis that allows for a holistic approach, and, above all, a much longer time perspective. That is the rational approach of the IHOPe initiative. This approach promises to yield new understandings of the relationship between the past, present and possible future of our integrated human-environment system. IHOPe embodies a unique new focus of our historical efforts on the future, rather than the past, that concentrated on learning about future possibilities from the development of a science of the past. A growing worldwide community of trans-disciplinary scholars is forming around building this Integrated History and future of People on Earth. The initiative has already stimulated significant new research and a large number of publications have already resulted, directly or indirectly, connected with the major themes of IHOPe [15–70]. The activity has also become a major focus within the global change community. Building integrated models of past human societies and their interactions with their environments yields new insights into those interactions and can help to create a more sustainable and desirable future.
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